State of Vermont Joint Fiscal Office

# Independent Review of State Information Technology Projects, Operations, and Organizations (H.492 Sec. 36 / H.519 Sec. 32/33)

**Consolidated Summary** 

January 11, 2018

Prepared by: Daniel Smith P&C Software Services, LLC

## 1. Agency of Human Services – Integrated Eligibility Project

						• ·	•			
		Poor		Weak		Neutral	$\rightarrow$	Strong		Excellent
p ti lo ri d	past six n herefore ack of ac resources locumen	nonths AHS 2 Neutral (Ur ccurate cost 5. The new s nted during t	has been a hknown). ( estimates strategy is he month o	ctively engage Concerns ident and expenditu expected to ac of January 201	ed in dete tified prev ire trackin ddress the 18. Follow	While this project rmining a new st viously had incluing, and the press rese to some deg ving the docum o conduct the new	strategy of Ided the la ssures of t Iree, and s entation of	and the current ack of an action ight schedules o should be appro and presentatio	evaluatio able plan and limite oved and	on is n, the
2. Agency of Administration – ERP Expansion Project / Vision Upgrade										
		Poor		Weak		Neutral	>	Strong		Excellent
Т	The next	project revie	ew should l	be performed	as an Inte	sign, implemen erim Update no <b>Health Cor</b>	later thai	n June 30, 2018.		
		Poor		Weak		Neutral	$\rightarrow$	Strong		Excellent
s n s d a	ignificar neeting ignificar lue to in addition	ntly improve organizatior nt issues. Ho nate structu al reviews of	d operation nal goals. wever, as ral concerr VHC are re	ns, and month The recent Ope pointed out in ns of the system ecommended	ly Key Per en Enrolln the 12/1 m, as weli at this tim		cator repo Included su iew, long garding fi	rts show most i ccessfully, and term success is unding and sup	metrics a there are not guar	s 2 no ranteed
, J	udicia		t Gene		e Man	agement S	ystem			
		Poor		Weak		Neutral		Strong		Excellent
	-	ect Review (i g in scope, c		<i>,</i> ,	-	017. This projed	ct continu			t is

#### 5. Department of Labor – Unemployment Insurance Modernization Project



Last Project Review: January 23, 2017. This project continues to be strong, however there are several ongoing risks involving schedules and resources. The project structure as a multi-state endeavor introduces dependencies that Vermont alone is not able to address. That said, the fact that it is a joint project that is based on expanding and enhancing a proven application, and for which there is no funding or budget concerns, justifies the evaluation as Strong. Barring significant changes in status this project should not require an additional review during the upcoming year.

## 6. General – Health Information Technology Activities (HIE/HIT/VITL)

Poor 🔶	Weak	Neutral	Strong	Excellent
--------	------	---------	--------	-----------

Last Review: Independent Evaluation of Vermont HIE/HIT (HealthTech Solutions) November 10, 2017. This evaluation was required by Act 73 of 2017, and while it did not result in an overall grade or score the content of the report indicates that it should be somewhere between Weak and Neutral. The report included several findings, of which two were that a) Vermont is not organized in a way that increases its chances for success, and (b) Stakeholders lack confidence and there is clear room for improvement. This evaluation trends up from Weak due to the fact that during the past six months the Department of Vermont Health Access has been actively engaged in changing the approach to HIE, and has made progress in addressing some of the concerns raised by the report. This subject will be undergoing additional review by various Legislative committees during the current session, and will not require additional external reviews in the near term.

### 7. General – Agency of Digital Services Organizational Review

Poor	Weak	Neutral	Strong	Excellent
------	------	---------	--------	-----------

Last Review: Independent Review of ADS Reorganization (JFO internal / Draft) December 30, 2017. The Joint Fiscal Office requested that an internal review of the DII/ADS reorganization be performed in order to determine whether this reorganization was effective, and also to review how this reorganization compared to similar efforts in other states. The essential finding was that this reorganization aligns well with other states, however it is too early to determine whether the reorganization is meeting expectations. The key recommendation from the review was that in order to both achieve and measure success, ADS must define specific goals, establish baselines of current performance, and identify metrics that will be used to compare baselines to goals as the organization matures. Ideally these will all come together in a new IT Strategic Plan, which ADS anticipated presenting by January 15, 2018.